

Patent Application No. 10/606,137
Amdt. Dated March 7, 2007
Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2007

6

REMARKS

Applicants request reconsideration of the above-identified application in view of the foregoing proposed amendments and the following remarks.

Applicants have cancelled claims 11-50. Claims 1-10 and 51 -63 are pending.

Section § 112 Rejections

Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph.

By the present Amendment, Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50 have been cancelled. Accordingly, the rejection of Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is believed to be obviated.

Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50, stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph.

By the present Amendment, Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50 have been cancelled. Accordingly, the rejection of Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50, under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph is believed to be obviated.

35 U.S.C. §§ 102 and 103 Rejections

Claims 1-10 and 51-63 are the only remaining claims in the present case, and these claims are not rejected over prior art.

Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 (b) and 103(a). By the present Amendment, Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50 have been

Patent Application No. 10/606,137
Amdt. Dated March 7, 2007
Reply to Office Action of February 8, 2007

7

cancelled. Accordingly, the rejection of Claims 11-21, 42-44, 47, 48 and 50, under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102 (b) and 103(a), is believed to be obviated.

SUMMARY

The rejections in the Office Action have been discussed and Applicants believe that the present amendments have overcome these rejections.

In light of the amendments, issuance of a Notice of Allowance at an early date is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,
DAVID VINCENT ZYZAK, ET AL.

By: 

S. Robert Chuey
Attorney for Applicants
Registration No. 39,140
(513) 634-0102

March 8, 2007
Customer Number 27752

BEST AVAILABLE COPY